arXiv:1701.07983v1 [math.PR] 27 Jan 2017

Weak order in averaging principle for stochastic differential equations with jumps

Hongbo Fu, Li Wan

Research Center of Nonlinear Science, College of Mathematics and Computer Science, Wuhan Textile University, Wuhan, 430073, PR China

Jicheng Liu*, Xianming Liu

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, PR China

Abstract

This article deals with the weak error for averaging principle for two-time-scale system of jump-diffusion stochastic differential equation. Under suitable conditions, it is proved that the rate of weak convergence to the averaged effective dynamics is of order 1 via an asymptotic expansion approach.

Keywords: Jump-diffusion, averaging principle, invariant measure, weak convergence, asymptotic expansion.
MSC 2010: primary 60H15, secondary 70K70

1. Introduction

We consider a two-time-scale systems of jump-diffusion stochastic differential equation in form of

$$dX_t^\epsilon = a(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)dt + b(X_t^\epsilon)dB_t + c(X_{t-}^\epsilon)dP_t,\ X_0^\epsilon = x \tag{1.1}$$

$$dY_t^\epsilon = \frac{1}{\epsilon}f(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)dt + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}g(X_t^\epsilon, Y_t^\epsilon)dW_t + h(X_{t-}^\epsilon, Y_{t-}^\epsilon)dN_t^\epsilon,\ Y_0^\epsilon = y, \tag{1.2}$$

where $X_t^\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^n, Y_t^\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the drift functions $a(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^n, f(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the diffusion functions $b(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times d_1}, c(x) \in \mathbb{R}^n, g(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^{m\times d_2}$ and $h(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. B_t and W_t are the vector of d_1, d_2-dimensional independent Brownian motions on a complete stochastic base $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P})$, respectively. P_t is a scalar simple Poisson process with intensity λ_1, and N_t^ϵ is a scalar simple Poisson process

*Corresponding author at: School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074, China.
Email addresses: hbfuhust@gmail.com (Hongbo Fu), wanlinju@aliyun.com (Li Wan), jcliu@hust.edu.cn (Jicheng Liu), xmliu@hust.edu.cn (Xianming Liu)

with intensity $\frac{\lambda_2}{\epsilon}$. The positive parameter ϵ is small and describes the ratio of time scales between X_t^ϵ and Y_t^ϵ. Systems (1.1)-(1.2) with two time scale occur frequently in applications including chemical kinetics, signal processing, complex fluids and financial engineering.

With the two separation of time scale, we can view the state variable of the system as being divided into two parts: the "slow" variable X_t^ϵ and the "fast" variable Y_t^ϵ. It is often the case that we are interested only in the dynamics of slow component. Then a simplified equation, which is independent of fast variable and possesses the essential features of the system, is highly desirable. Such a simplified equation is often constructed by averaging procedure as in [2, 20] for deterministic ordinary differential equations, as well as the further development [13, 7, 8, 18, 19, 14, 15, 16] for stochastic differential equations with continuous Gaussian processes. As far as averaging for stochastic dynamical systems in infinite dimensional space is concerned, it is worthwhile to quote the important work of [4, 5, 6, 26] and also the work of [9, 10].

In order to derive the averaging dynamics of the system (1.1)-(1.2), we introduce the fast motion equation with a frozen slow component $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in form of

$$dY_t^x = f(x, Y_t^x)dt + g(x, Y_t^x)dW_t + h(x, Y_{t-}^x)dN_t,\ Y_0^x = y, \tag{1.3}$$

whose solution is denoted by $Y_t^\epsilon(y)$. Under suitable conditions on f, g and h, $Y_t^\epsilon(y)$ induces a unique invariant measure $\mu^x(dy)$ on $\mathbb{R}^m$, which is ergodic and ensures the averaged equation:

$$d\bar{X}_t = \bar{a}(\bar{X}_t)dt + b(\bar{X}_t)d\dot{B}_t + c(\bar{X}_{t-})d\dot{P}_t,\ \bar{X}_0 = x,$$

where the averaging nonlinear is defined by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{a}(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} a(x,y)\mu^x(dy) \\ &= \lim_{t\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}a(x, Y_t^x(y)). \end{aligned}$$

In [12], it is shown that under the above conditions the slow motion X_t^ϵ converges strongly to the solution $\bar{X}_t$ of the above averaged equation with jumps. The order of convergence $\frac{1}{2}$ in strong sense was provided in [17]. To the best knowledge of the authors, the existing literature does not address the weak order in averaging principle for jump diffusion stochastic differential systems. In fact, it is fair to say that the weak convergence in stochastic averaging theory of systems driven by jump noise is not yet fully developed, even though recent years have witnessed some strong approximation results [1, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25].

In the present paper, we are interested in the rate of weak convergence of the averaging dynamics to the true solution of slow motion X_t^ϵ. Namely, we will determine the order, with respect to timescale parameter ϵ, of weak deviation between original solution of slow equation and the solution of the corresponding averaged equation. The main technique we adapted is to finding an expansion with respect to ϵ of the solutions of the Kolmogorov equations associated with

the jump diffusion system. The solvability of the Poisson equation associated with the generator of frozen equation provides an expression for the coefficients of the expansion. As a result, the boundedness for the coefficients of expansion can be proved by smoothing effect of the corresponding transition semigroups in the space of bounded and uniformly continuous functions, where some regular conditions is needed on drift and diffusion term. Our result show that the weak convergence rate to be 1 even when there are jump components in the system, which is the main novelty of this work. We would like to stress that asymptotic method was first applied by Bréhier [3] to an averaging result for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations in the case of Gaussian noise of additive type was included only in the fast motion. However, the extension of this argument is not straightforward. The method used in the proof of weak order in [3] is strictly related to the differentiability in time of averaging process. Therefore, once the noise is introduced in the slow equation, difficulties will arise and the procedure becomes more complicated. Our result in this paper bridges such a gap, in which the slow and the fast motions are both perturbed by noise with jumps.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 is devoted to notation, assumptions and summarize preliminary results. The ergodicity of fast process and the averaging dynamics of system with jumps is introduced in Section 3. Then the main result of this article, which is derived via the asymptotic expansions and uniform error estimates, is presented in Section 4. In the final section, we state and prove technical lemmas to which we appeal in the preceding section.

Throughout the paper, the letter C below with or without subscripts will denote generic positive constants independent of ϵ, whose value may change from one line to another.

2. Assumptions and preliminary results

For any integer d, the scalar product and norm on $d-$dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^d$ are denoted by $\Big(\cdot,\cdot\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{R}^d}$, respectively. For any integer k, we denote by $C_b^k(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R})$ the space of all $k-$times differentiable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, which have bounded uniformly continuous derivatives up to the k-th order.

In what follows, we shall assume that the drift and diffusion coefficients arising in the system fulfill the following conditions.

(**A1**) The mappings $a(x,y), b(x), c(x), f(x,y), g(x,y)$ and $h(x,y)$ are of class C^2 and have bounded first and second derivatives. Moreover, we assume that $a(x,y), b(x)$ and $c(x)$ are bounded.

(**A2**) There exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ it holds

$$y^T g(x,y) g^T(x,y) y \geq \alpha \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}.$$

(**A3**) There exists a constant $\beta > 0$ such that for any $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds

$$\Big(y_1 - y_2, f(x,y_1) - f(x,y_2) + \lambda_2(h(x,y_1) - h(x,y_2))\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^m}$$

$$+\|g(x,y_1)-g(x,y_2)\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m}+\lambda_2|h(x,y_1)-h(x,y_2)|^2$$
$$\leq -\beta\|y_1-y_2\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m}.$$

Remark 2.1. *Notice that from (**A1**) it immediately follows that the following directional derivatives exist and are controlled:*

$$\|D_x a(x,y)\cdot k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\leq L\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$
$$\|D_y a(x,y)\cdot l_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\leq L\|l_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^m},$$
$$\|D^2_{xx} a(x,y)\cdot (k_1,k_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\leq L\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n},$$
$$\|D^2_{yy} a(x,y)\cdot (l_1,l_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\leq L\|l_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\|l_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^m},$$

where L is a constant independent of x,y,k_1,k_2,l_1 and l_2. For differentiability of mappings b,c,f,g and h we possess the analogous results. For examples, we have

$$\|D^2_{xx} b(x)\cdot (k_1,k_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\leq L\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n},\ k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{R}^n,$$
$$\|D^2_{yy} f(x,y)\cdot (l_1,l_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\leq L\|l_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\|l_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\|,\ l_1,l_2\in\mathbb{R}^m.$$

*As far as the assumption (**A2**) is concerned, it is a sort of non-degeneracy condition and it is assumed in order to have the regularizing effect of the Markov transition semigroup associated with the fast dynamics. Assumption (**A3**) is the dissipative condition which determine how the fast equation converges to its equilibrium state.*

As assumption (**A1**) holds, for any $\epsilon>0$ and any initial conditions $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $y\in\mathbb{R}^m$, system (1.1)-(1.2) admits a unique solution, which, in order to emphasize the dependence on the initial data, is denoted by $(X^\epsilon_t(x,y),Y^\epsilon_t(x,y))$. Moreover the following lemma holds (for a proof see e.g. [17]).

Lemma 2.1. *Under the assumption (**A1**), (**A2**) and (**A3**), for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $y\in\mathbb{R}^m$ and $\epsilon>0$ we have*

$$\mathbb{E}\|X^\epsilon_t(x,y)\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}\leq C_T(1+\|x\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m}),\ t\in[0,T] \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\|Y^\epsilon_t(x,y)\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}\leq C_T(1+\|x\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|^2_{\mathbb{R}^m}),\ t\in[0,T]. \tag{2.2}$$

3. Frozen equation and averaging equation

Fixing $\epsilon=1$, we consider the fast equation with frozen slow component $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{cases} dY^x_t(y)=f(x,Y^x_t(y))dt+g(x,Y^x_t(y))dW_t+h(x,Y^x_{t-}(y))dN_t,\\ Y^x_0=y. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

Under assumption (**A1**)-(**A3**), such a problem has a unique solution, which satisfies [17]:

$$\mathbb{E}\|Y_t^x(y)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 \leq C(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 + e^{-\beta t}\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2),\ t \geq 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Let $Y_t^x(y')$ be the solution of problem (3.1) with initial value $Y_0^x = y'$, the Itô formula implies that for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\|Y_t^x(y) - Y_t^x(y')\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 \leq \|y - y'\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 e^{-\beta t}. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, as discussion in [17] and [12], equation (3.1) admits a unique ergodic invariant measure μ^x with finite $2-$moments:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 \mu^x(dy) \leq C(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2). \tag{3.4}$$

Then, by averaging the coefficient a with respect to the invariant measure μ^x, we can define an $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued mapping

$$\bar{a}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} a(x,y)\mu^x(dy), x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Due to assumption (**A1**), it is easily to check that $\bar{a}(x)$ is 2-times differentiable with bounded derivatives and hence it is Lipschitz-continuous such that

$$\|\bar{a}(x_1) - \bar{a}(x_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \leq C\|x_1 - x_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n},\ x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

According to the invariant property of μ^x, (3.4) and assumption (**A1**), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbb{E}a(x, Y_t^x(y) - \bar{a}(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 &= \|\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathbb{E}\big(a(x, Y_t^x(y) - a(x, Y_t^x(z))\big)\mu^x(dz)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathbb{E}\,\|Y_t^x(y) - Y_t^x(z)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2\,\mu^x(dz) \\
&\leq e^{-\beta t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \|y-z\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2 \mu^x(dz) \\
&\leq Ce^{-\beta t}\big(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Now we can introduce the effective dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} d\bar{X}_t(x) = \bar{a}(\bar{X}_t(x))dt + b(\bar{X}_t(x))d\dot{B}_t + c(\bar{X}_{t-}(x))d\dot{P}_t, \\ \bar{X}_0 = x. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

As the coefficients $\bar{a}, b$ and c are Lipschitz-continuous, this equation admits a unique solution such that

$$\mathbb{E}\|\bar{X}_t(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 \leq C_T(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2),\ t \in [0,T]. \tag{3.7}$$

4. Asymptotic expansion

We now introduce the Kolmogorov operator associated with the slow motion equation, with frozen fast component $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, by setting

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1\Phi(x) &= \Big(a(x,y), D_x\Phi(x)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \frac{1}{2}Tr\left[D^2_{xx}\Phi(x)\cdot b(x)b^T(x)\right] \\ &\quad +\lambda_1(\Phi(x+c(x))-\Phi(x)),\ \Phi \in C^2_b(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}).\end{aligned}$$

For any frozen slow component $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the Kolmogorov operator for equation (3.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_2\Psi(y) &= \Big(f(x,y), D_y\Psi(y)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^m} + \frac{1}{2}Tr\left[D^2_{yy}\Psi(y)\cdot g(x,y)g^T(x,y)\right] \\ &\quad +\lambda_2(\Psi(y+h(x,y))-\Psi(y)),\ \Psi \in C^2_b(\mathbb{R}^m,\mathbb{R}).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\phi \in C^2_b(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R})$ and define a function $u^\epsilon(t,x,y) : [0,T]\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$u^\epsilon(t,x,y) = \mathbb{E}\phi(X^\epsilon_t(x,y)).$$

If we set

$$\mathcal{L}^\epsilon := \mathcal{L}_1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathcal{L}_2,$$

we have that $u^\epsilon(t,x,y)$ is the solutio of the Kolmogorov equation:

$$\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u^\epsilon(t,x,y) = \mathcal{L}^\epsilon u^\epsilon(t,x,y), \\ u^\epsilon(0,x,y) = \phi(x),\end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

Also recall the Kolmogorov operator associated with the averaged equation is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathcal{L}}\Phi(x) &= \Big(\bar{a}(x), D_x\Phi(x)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \frac{1}{2}Tr\left[D^2_{xx}\Phi(x)\cdot b(x)b^T(x)\right] \\ &\quad +\lambda_1(\Phi(x+c(x,y))-\Phi(x)),\ \Phi \in C^2_b(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R}).\end{aligned}$$

If we set

$$\bar{u}(t,x) = \mathbb{E}\phi(\bar{X}_t(x)),$$

we have

$$\begin{cases}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\bar{u}(t,x) = \bar{\mathcal{L}}\bar{u}(t,x), \\ \bar{u}(0,x) = \phi(x).\end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

Then the weak difference at time T is equal to

$$\mathbb{E}\phi(\bar{X}_T(x)) - \mathbb{E}\phi(X^\epsilon_T(x,y)) = \bar{u}(T,x) - u^\epsilon(T,x,y).$$

With the above assumptions and notation we have the following result, which is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.5.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, Then, under assumptions **(A1)**, **(A2)** and **(A3)**, for any any $T > 0$ and $\phi \in C_b^3(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, there exists a constant $C_{T,\phi,x,y}$ such that*

$$\left|\mathbb{E}\phi(X_T^\epsilon(x,y)) - \mathbb{E}\phi(\bar{X}_T(x))\right| \leq C_{T,\phi,x,y}\epsilon.$$

As a consequence, it can be claimed that the weak order in averaging principle for jump-diffusion stochastic systems is 1.

In what follows, for the sake of simplicity, we often omit the temporal variable t and spatial variables x and y. For example, for $u^\epsilon(t,x,y)$, we often write it as u^ϵ. Our aim is to seek matched asymptotic expansions for the $u^\epsilon(T,x,y)$ of the form

$$u^\epsilon = u_0 + \epsilon u_1 + r^\epsilon, \tag{4.3}$$

where u_0 and u_1 are smooth functions which will be constructed below, and r^ϵ is the remainder term.

4.1. ***The leading term***

Let us first determine the leading terms. Now, substituting (4.3) into (4.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} + \epsilon\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial r^\epsilon}{\partial t} &= \mathcal{L}_1 u_0 + \epsilon\mathcal{L}_1 u_1 + \mathcal{L}_1 r^\epsilon \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathcal{L}_2 u_0 + \mathcal{L}_2 u_1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathcal{L}_2 r^\epsilon\end{aligned}$$

By comparing coefficients of powers of ϵ, we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_2 u_0 = 0, \tag{4.4}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t} = \mathcal{L}_1 u_0 + \mathcal{L}_2 u_1. \tag{4.5}$$

It follows from (4.4) that u_0 is independent of y, which means

$$u_0(t,x,y) = u_0(t,x).$$

We also impose the initial condition $u_0(0,x) = \phi(x)$. Since μ^x is the invariant measure of the Markov process with generator $\mathcal{L}_2$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathcal{L}_2 u_1(t,x,y)\mu^x(dy) = 0.$$

Thanks to (4.5), this yields

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial t}(t,x)\mu^x(dy)$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathcal{L}_1 u_0(t,x)\mu^x(dy) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \Big(a(x,y), D_x u_0(t,x)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu^x(dy) + \frac{1}{2} Tr\left[D^2_{xx} u_0(t,x)\cdot b(x) b^T(x)\right] \\
&\quad +\lambda_1(\Phi(x+c(x)) - \Phi(x)) \\
&= \bar{\mathcal{L}} u_0(t,x),
\end{aligned}
$$

so that u_0 and $\bar{u}$ are controlled by the same evolutionary equation. By using a uniqueness argument, such u_0 has to coincide with the solution $\bar{u}$ and we have the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1. *Under assumption (**A1**), (**A2**) and (**A3**), for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $T > 0$, we have $u_0(T,x,y) = \bar{u}(T,x)$.*

4.2. ***Construction of*** u_1

Let us proceed to carry out the construction of u_1. Thanks to Lemma 4.1 and (4.2), the equation (4.5) can be rewritten as

$$
\bar{\mathcal{L}}\bar{u} = \mathcal{L}_1 \bar{u} + \mathcal{L}_2 u_1,
$$

and hence we get an elliptic equation for u_1 with form

$$
\mathcal{L}_2 u_1(t,x,y) = \Big(\bar{a}(x) - a(x,y), D_x \bar{u}(t,x)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n} := -\rho(t,x,y), \tag{4.6}
$$

where ρ is of class C^2 with respect to y, with uniformly bounded derivative. Moreover, it satisfies for any $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \rho(t,x,y)\mu^x(dy) = 0.
$$

For any $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $s > 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\mathcal{P}_s\rho(t,x,y) &= \Big(f(x,y), D_y[\mathcal{P}_s\rho(t,x,y)]\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&\quad +\frac{1}{2} Tr\left[D^2_{yy}[\mathcal{P}_s\rho(t,x,y)]\cdot g(x,y) g^T(x,y)\right] \\
&\quad +\lambda_2\left(\mathcal{P}_s[\rho(t,x,y+h(x,y))] - \mathcal{P}_s[\rho(t,x,y)]\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

here

$$
\mathcal{P}_s[\rho(t,x,y)] := \mathbb{E}\rho(t,x,Y^x_s(y))
$$

satisfying

$$
\lim_{s\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}\rho(t,x,Y^x_s(y)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \rho(t,x,z)\mu^x(dz) = 0. \tag{4.7}
$$

Indeed, by the invariant property of μ^x and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$
\left|\mathbb{E}\rho(t,x,Y^x_s(y)) - \int_H \rho(t,x,z)\mu^x(dz)\right|
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathbb{E}[\rho(t,x,Y_s^x(y)) - \rho(t,x,Y_s^x(z))\mu^x(dz)] \right| \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \left| \mathbb{E}\Big(a(x,Y_s^x(z)) - a(x,Y_s^x(y)), D_x\bar{u}(t,x) \Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \right| \mu^x(dz) \\
&\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \mathbb{E}\|Y_s^x(z) - Y_s^x(y)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mu^x(dz).
\end{aligned}
$$

This, in view of (3.3) and (3.4), yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left| \mathbb{E}\rho(t,x,Y_s^x(y)) - \int_H \rho(t,x,z)\mu^x(dz) \right| \\
&\leq C(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}) e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}s},
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the equality (4.7). Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big(f(x,y), D_y \int_0^{+\infty} [\mathcal{P}_s\rho(t,x,y)]ds \Big)_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&+\frac{1}{2} Tr\left[D_{yy}^2 \int_0^{+\infty} [\mathcal{P}_s\rho(t,x,y)] \cdot g(x,y) g^T(x,y) ds \right] \\
&+\lambda_2 \left(\int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{P}_s[\rho(t,x,y+h(x,y))]ds - \int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{P}_s[\rho(t,x,y)]ds \right) \\
&= \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{d}{ds} \mathcal{P}_s[\rho(t,x,y)]ds \\
&= \lim_{s\to+\infty} \mathbb{E}\rho(t,x,Y_s^x(y)) - \rho(t,x,y) \\
&= \int_H \rho(t,x,y)\mu^x(dy) - \rho(t,x,y) \\
&= -\rho(t,x,y),
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $\mathcal{L}_2(\int_0^{+\infty} \mathcal{P}_s\rho(t,x,y)ds) = -\rho(t,x,y)$. This means that the

$$
u_1(t,x,y) := \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\rho(t,x,Y_s^x(y))ds \tag{4.8}
$$

is the solution of Poisson equation (4.6).

Lemma 4.2. *Under assumptions (**A1**), (**A2**) and (**A3**), for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $T > 0$, we have*

$$
|u_1(t,x,y)| \leq C_T(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}),\ t \in [0,T]. \tag{4.9}
$$

Proof. As known from (4.8), we have

$$
u_1(t,x,y) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\Big(\bar{a}(x) - \ a(x,Y_s^x(y)), D_x\bar{u}(t,x) \Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds.
$$

This implies that

$$|u_1(t,x,y)| \leq \int_0^{+\infty} \|\bar{a}(x) - \mathbb{E}[a(x, Y_s^x(y))]\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdot \|D_x \bar{u}(t,x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds.$$

Then, due to Lemma 5.1 and (3.5), this yields:

$$\begin{aligned} |u_1(t,x,y)| &\leq C_T(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}s} ds \\ &\leq C_T(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}). \end{aligned}$$

□

4.3. ***Determination of remainder*** r^ϵ

Once u_0 and u_1 have been determined, we can carry out the construction of the remainder r^ϵ. It is known that

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{L}^\epsilon) u^\epsilon = 0,$$

which, together with (4.4) and (4.5), implies

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \mathcal{L}^\epsilon) r^\epsilon &= -(\partial_t - \mathcal{L}^\epsilon) u_0 - \epsilon(\partial_t - \mathcal{L}^\epsilon) u_1 \\ &= -(\partial_t - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathcal{L}_2 - \mathcal{L}_1) u_0 - \epsilon(\partial_t - \frac{1}{\epsilon}\mathcal{L}_2 - \mathcal{L}_1) u_1 \\ &= \epsilon(\mathcal{L}_1 u_1 - \partial_t u_1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

In order to estimate the remainder term r^ϵ we need the following crucial lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. *Under assumptions* ***(A1)****,* ***(A2)*** *and* ***(A3)****, for any* $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$*,* $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ *and* $T > 0$*, we have*

$$\left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t}(t,x,y) \right| \leq C_T(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m})$$

Proof. According to (4.8), we have

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t}(t,x,y) = \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\bar{a}(x) - a(x, Y_s^x(y)), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_x \bar{u}(t,x) \right)_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds.$$

By Lemma 5.6 introduced in Section 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t}(t,x,y) \right| &\leq \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\left(\|\bar{a}(x) - a(x, Y_s^x(y))\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdot \|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} D_x \bar{u}(t,x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \right) ds \\ &\leq C_T \int_0^{+\infty} \mathbb{E}\|\bar{a}(x) - a(x, Y_s^x(y))\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds \end{aligned}$$

so that from (3.5) we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t}(t,x,y) \right| \leq C_T(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}).$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Under assumptions (**A1**), (**A2**) and (**A3**), for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $T > 0$, we have*

$$|\mathcal{L}_1 u_1(t,x,y)| \leq C_T(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}),\ t \in [0,T].$$

Proof. Recalling that $u_1(t,x,y)$ is the solution of equation (4.6) and (4.8) holds, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1 u_1(t,x,y) &= \Big(a(x,y), D_x u_1(t,x,y)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} Tr\left[D^2_{xx} u_1(t,x,y) \cdot b(x) b^T(x)\right] \\ &+ \lambda_1[u_1(t,x+c(x),y) - u_1(t,x,y)], \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

and then, in order to prove the boundedness of $\mathcal{L}_1 u_1$, we have to estimate the three terms arising in the right hand side of above equality.

Step 1: Estimate of $\Big(a(x,y), D_x u_1(t,x,y)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n}$.

For any $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_x u_1(t,x,y) \cdot k &= \int_0^{+\infty} \Big(D_x\left(\bar{a}(x) - \mathbb{E}a(x, Y_s^x(y))\right) \cdot k, D_x \bar{u}(t,x)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{+\infty} \Big(\bar{a}(x) - \mathbb{E}a(x, Y_s^x(y)), D^2_{xx}\bar{u}(t,x) \cdot k\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds \\ &=: I_1(t,x,y,k) + I_2(t,x,y,k). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.1 and 5.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1(t,x,y,k)| &\leq \|D_x \bar{u}(t,x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{+\infty} \|D_x(\bar{a}(x) - \mathbb{E}a(x, Y_s^x(y))) \cdot k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds \\ &\leq C_T \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}s} ds \\ &\leq C_T \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By Lemma 5.2 and inequality (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2(t,x,y,k)| &\leq C_T \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^{+\infty} \|\bar{a}(x) - \mathbb{E}a(x, Y_s^x(y))\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} ds \\ &\leq C_T \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}s} ds \\ &\leq C_T \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}). \end{aligned}$$

This, together with (4.12), implies

$$\|D_x u_1(t,x,y) \cdot k\| \leq C_T \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}),$$

and then, as $a(x,y)$ is bounded, it follows

$$\left|\Big(a(x,y), D_x u_1(t,x,y)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n}\right| \leq C_T \|a(x,y)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m})$$

$$\leq \quad C_T(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}).$$

Step 2: Estimate of $Tr\left[D^2_{xx}u_1(t,x,y)\cdot b(x)b^T(x)\right]$.

Since $u_1(t,x,y)$ is given by the formula (4.8), for any $k_1,k_2\in\mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^2_{xx}u_1(t,x,y)\cdot(k_1,k_2)\\
&=\int_0^{+\infty}\mathbb{E}\Big(D^2_{xx}(\bar{a}(x)-a(x,Y^x_s(y)))\cdot(k_1,k_2),D_x\bar{u}(t,x)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n}ds\\
&+\int_0^{+\infty}\mathbb{E}\Big(D_x(\bar{a}(x)-a(x,Y^x_s(y)))\cdot k_1,D^2_{xx}\bar{u}(t,x)\cdot k_2\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n}ds\\
&+\int_0^{+\infty}\mathbb{E}\Big(D_x(\bar{a}(x)-a(x,Y^x_s(y)))\cdot k_2,D^2_{xx}\bar{u}(t,x)\cdot k_1\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n}ds\\
&+\int_0^{+\infty}\mathbb{E}\Big(\bar{a}(x)-a(x,Y^x_s(y)),D^3_{xxx}\bar{u}(t,x)\cdot(k_1,k_2)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n}ds\\
&:=\sum_{i=1}^4 J_i(t,x,y,k_1,k_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.5 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&|J_1(t,x,y,k_1,k_2)|\\
&\leq\quad\int_0^{+\infty}\left|\mathbb{E}\Big(D^2_{xx}(\bar{a}(x)-a(x,Y^x_s(y)))\cdot(k_1,k_2),D_x\bar{u}(t,x)\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n}\right|ds\\
&\leq\quad C_T\left(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right)\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^{+\infty}e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}s}ds\\
&\leq\quad C_T\left(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right)\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

By Lemma 5.4 and (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&|J_2(t,x,y,k_1,k_2)|\\
&\leq\quad\int_0^{+\infty}\left|\mathbb{E}\Big(D_x(\bar{a}(x)-a(x,Y^x_s(y)))\cdot k_1,D^2_{xx}\bar{u}(t,x)\cdot k_2\Big)_{\mathbb{R}^n}\right|ds\\
&\leq\quad C_T\left(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right)\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_0^{+\infty}e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}s}ds\\
&\leq\quad C_T\left(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right)\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.14}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
&|J_3(t,x,y,k_1,k_2)|\\
&\leq\quad C_T\left(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right)\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.15}$$

By making use of Lemma 5.3 and (3.5), we get

$$|J_4(t,x,y,k_1,k_2)|$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\leq C_T\|k_1\|\cdot\|k_2\|\cdot(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m})\int_0^{+\infty}e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}s}ds\\
&\leq C_T\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\cdot\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}). \qquad (4.16)
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the above estimates (4.13), (4.14), (4.15) and (4.16), we can conclude that there exists a constant C_T such that

$$
|D^2_{xx}u_1(t,x,y)\cdot(k_1,k_2)|\leq C\|k_1\|\cdot\|k_2\|(1++\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}),\ t\in[0,T],
$$

which means that for fixed $y\in\mathbb{R}^m$ and $t\in[0,T]$,

$$
\|D^2_{xx}u_1(t,x,y)\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R})}\leq C_T(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}),
$$

where $L(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of linear operators from $\mathbb{R}^n$ to $\mathbb{R}$. As the function g is bounded, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Tr\Big(D^2_{xx}u_1(t,x,y)gg^T\Big)\\
&\leq C_T\|D^2_{xx}u_1(t,x,y)\|_{L(\mathbb{R}^n,\mathbb{R})}\\
&\leq C_T(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}).
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3: Estimate of $\lambda_1[u_1(t,x+c(x),y)-u_1(t,x,y)]$.
By Lemma 4.2 and boundedness of $c(x)$, we directly have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\lambda_1[u_1(t,x+c(x),y)-u_1(t,x,y)]|\\
&\lambda_1[|u_1(t,x+c(x),y)|+|u_1(t,x,y)|]\\
&\leq C_T(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}),\ t\in[0,T].
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, It is now easy to gather all previous estimates in (4.11) and conclude

$$
|\mathcal{L}_1u_1(t,x,y)|\leq C_T(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}),\ t\in[0,T].
$$

□

Lemma 4.5. *Under the conditions of Lemma 4.3, for any $T>0$, $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $y\in\mathbb{R}^m$, we have*

$$
|r^\epsilon(T,x,y)|\leq C_T\epsilon(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}).
$$

Proof. By a variation of constant formula, we write the equation (4.10) in the mild form

$$
\begin{aligned}
r^\epsilon(T,x,y) &= \mathbb{E}[r^\epsilon(0,X^\epsilon_T(x,y),Y^\epsilon_T(x,y)]\\
&+ \epsilon\left[\int_0^T\mathbb{E}(\mathcal{L}_1u_1-\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial s})(X^\epsilon_{T-s}(x,y),Y^\epsilon_{T-s}(x,y))ds\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Since u^ϵ and $u_0=\bar{u}$ has the same initial condition $\phi(x)$, we have

$$
|r^\epsilon(0,x,y)| = |u^\epsilon(0,x,y)-\bar{u}(0,x)-\epsilon u_1(0,x,y)|
$$

$$= \quad \epsilon|u_1(0,x,y)|,$$

so that, from (4.9), (2.1) and (2.2) we have

$$\mathbb{E}[r^\epsilon(0, X_T^\epsilon(x,y), Y_T^\epsilon(x,y)] \leq C\epsilon(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}). \tag{4.17}$$

Thanks to Lemma 4.3 and Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}[(\mathcal{L}_1 u_1 - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial s})(X_{T-s}^\epsilon(x,y), Y_{T-s}^\epsilon(x,y))]\\ &\leq C\mathbb{E}(1 + \|X_{T-s}^\epsilon(x,y)\| + \|Y_{T-s}^\epsilon(x,y)\|)\end{aligned}$$

and, according to (2.1) and (2.2), this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T (\mathcal{L}_1 u_1 - \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial s})(X_{T-s}^\epsilon(x,y), Y_{T-s}^\epsilon(x,y))ds\right]\\ &\leq C_T(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m})\end{aligned}$$

This, together with (4.17), implies

$$|r^\epsilon(T,x,y)| \leq \epsilon C_T(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}),$$

which completes the proof. □

5. appendix

In this appendix we collect some technical results to which we appeal in the proofs of the main results in Section 4 .

Lemma 5.1. *For any $T > 0$, there exists $C_T > 0$ such that for any $x, k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0,T]$, we have*

$$|D_x\bar{u}(t,x) \cdot k| \leq C_T\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

Proof. Note that for any $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}D_x\bar{u}(t,x) \cdot k &= \mathbb{E}\left[D\phi(\bar{X}_t(x)) \cdot \eta_t^{k,x}\right]\\ &= \mathbb{E}\left(\phi'(\bar{X}_t(x)), \eta_t^{k,x}\right)_{\mathbb{R}^n},\end{aligned}$$

where $\eta_t^{k,x}$ denotes the first mean-square derivative of $\bar{X}_t(x)$ with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ along the direction $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then we have

$$\begin{cases}d\eta_t^{k,x} = D_x\bar{a}(\bar{X}_t(x)) \cdot \eta_t^{k,x}dt + D_x b(\bar{X}_t(x)) \cdot \eta_t^{k,x}dB_t\\ \qquad\quad + D_x c(\bar{X}_{t-}(x)) \cdot \eta_{t-}^{k,x}dP_t,\\ \eta_0^{k,x} = k.\end{cases}$$

This means that $\eta_t^{k,x}$ is the solution of the integral equation

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_t^{k,x} &= k + \int_0^t D_x\bar{a}(\bar{X}_s(x)) \cdot \eta_s^{k,x} ds + \int_0^t D_x b(\bar{X}_s(x)) \cdot \eta_s^{k,x} dB_s \\ &\quad + \int_0^t D_x c(\bar{X}_{s-}(x)) \cdot \eta_{s-}^{k,x} dP_s\end{aligned}$$

and then thanks to assumption (**A1**), we get

$$\mathbb{E}\|\eta_t^{k,x}\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 \leq C_T\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 + C_T \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\|\eta_s^{k,x}\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 ds.$$

Then by Gronwall lemma it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}\|\eta_t^{k,x}\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 \leq C_T\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2,\ t \in [0,T], \tag{5.1}$$

such that

$$|D_x\bar{u}(t,x) \cdot k| \leq C_T\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

□

Next, we introduce a similar result concerning the second derivative of $\bar{u}(t,x)$.

Lemma 5.2. *For any $T > 0$, there exists $C_T > 0$ such that for any $x, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in [0,T]$, we have*

$$|D_{xx}^2\bar{u}(t,x) \cdot (k_1,k_2)| \leq C_T\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdot \|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

Proof. For any $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}D_{xx}^2\bar{u}(t,x) \cdot (k_1,k_2) &= \mathbb{E}\big[\phi''(\bar{X}_t(x)) \cdot (\eta_t^{k_1,x}, \eta_t^{k_2,x}) \\ &+ \phi'(\bar{X}_t(x)) \cdot \xi_t^{k_1,k_2,x}\big],\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where $\xi_t^{k_1,k_2,x}$ is solution of the second variation equation corresponding to the averaging equation, which may be rewritten in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_t^{k_1,k_2,x} &= \int_0^t \big[D_x\bar{a}(\bar{X}_s(x)) \cdot \xi_s^{k_1,k_2,x} + D_{xx}^2\bar{a}(\bar{X}_s(x)) \cdot (\eta_s^{k_1,x}, \eta_s^{k_2,x})\big] ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \big[D_{xx}^2 b(\bar{X}_s(x)) \cdot (\eta_s^{k_1,x}, \eta_s^{k_2,x}) + D_x b(\bar{X}_s(x)) \cdot \xi_s^{k_1,k_2,x}\big] dB_s \\ &+ \int_0^t \big[D_{xx}^2 c(\bar{X}_{s-}(x)) \cdot (\eta_{s-}^{k_1,x}, \eta_{s-}^{k_2,x}) + D_x c(\bar{X}_{s-}(x)) \cdot \xi_{s-}^{k_1,k_2,x}\big] dP_s.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by assumption (**A1**) and (5.1) we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|\xi_t^{k_1,k_2,x}\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 \leq C_T \int_0^t \big(\{\mathbb{E}\|\eta_s^{k_1,x}\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\{\mathbb{E}\|\eta_s^{k_2,x}\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \mathbb{E}\|\xi_s^{k_1,k_2,x}\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2\big) ds$$

$$\leq \quad C_T\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\cdot\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+C_T\int_0^t\mathbb{E}\|\xi_s^{k_1,k_2,x}\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2ds.$$

By applying the Gronwall lemma we have

$$\mathbb{E}\|\zeta_t^{k_1,k_2,x}\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2\leq C_T\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\cdot\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

Returning to (5.2), we can get

$$|D_{xx}^2\bar{u}(t,x)\cdot(k_1,k_2)|\leq C_T\|h_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\cdot\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

□

By using the same arguments used before, we can prove the following estimate for the third order derivative of $\bar{u}(t,x)$ with respect to x.

Lemma 5.3. *For any $T>0$, there exists $C_T>0$ such that for any $x,k_1,k_2,k_3\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $t\in[0,T]$, we have*

$$|D_{xxx}^3\bar{u}(t,x)\cdot(k_1,k_2,k_3)|\leq C_T\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\cdot\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\cdot\|k_3\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

Lemma 5.4. *There exists a constant $C>0$ such that for any $x\in\mathbb{R}^n,y\in\mathbb{R}^m$ and $k\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $t>0$ it holds*

$$\|D_x(\bar{a}(x)-\mathbb{E}a(x,Y_t^x(y)))\cdot k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(1+\|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}+\|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right).$$

Proof. The proof is an adaptation of that in [3, Proposition C.2]. For any $t_0>0$, we set

$$\tilde{a}_{t_0}(x,y,t)=\hat{a}(x,y,t)-\hat{a}(x,y,t+t_0),$$

where

$$\hat{a}(x,y,t):=\mathbb{E}a(x,Y_t^x(y)).$$

Then we have

$$\lim_{t_0\to+\infty}\tilde{a}_{t_0}(x,y,t)=\mathbb{E}a(x,Y_t^x(y))-\bar{a}(x).$$

Thanks to Markov property we then write that

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{a}_{t_0}(x,y,t) &= \hat{a}(x,y,t)-\mathbb{E}a(x,Y_{t+t_0}^x(y))\\ &= \hat{a}(x,y,t)-\mathbb{E}\hat{a}(x,Y_{t_0}^x(y),t)\end{aligned}$$

In view of the assumption (**A1**), $\hat{a}$ has a directional derivative in every direction with respect to x at (x,y,t). Therefore, we have for any $k\in\mathbb{R}^n$ that

$$\begin{aligned}D_x\tilde{a}_{t_0}(x,y,t)\cdot k &= D_x\hat{a}(x,y,t)\cdot k-\mathbb{E}D_x\left(\hat{a}(x,Y_{t_0}^x(y),t)\right)\cdot k\\ &= \hat{a}_x'(x,y,t)\cdot k-\mathbb{E}\hat{a}_x'(x,Y_{t_0}^x(y),t)\cdot k\\ &\quad -\mathbb{E}\hat{a}_y'(x,Y_{t_0}^x(y),t)\cdot\left(D_xY_{t_0}^x(y)\cdot k\right),\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

where we use the symbol $\hat{a}'_x$ and $\hat{a}'_y$ to denote the directional derivatives with respect to x and y, respectively. Note that the first derivative $\zeta_t^{x,y,k} = D_x Y_t^x(y) \cdot k$, at the point x and along the direction $k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, is the solution of equation

$$\begin{aligned} d\zeta_t^{x,y,k} &= \left(f'_x(x, Y_t^x(y)) \cdot k + f'_y(x, Y_t^x(y)) \cdot \zeta_t^{x,y,k}\right) dt \\ &\quad + \left(g'_x(x, Y_t^x(y)) \cdot k + g'_y(x, Y_t^x(y)) \cdot \zeta_t^{x,y,k}\right) dW_t \\ &\quad + \left(h'_x(x, Y_{t-}^x(y)) \cdot k + h'_y(x, Y_{t-}^x(y)) \cdot \zeta_{t-}^{x,y,k}\right) dN_t \end{aligned}$$

with initial data $\zeta_0^{x,y,k} = 0$. Hence, thanks to assumption (**A1**), it is immediate to check that for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}\|\zeta_t^{x,y,k}\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq C\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \tag{5.4}$$

Notice that for any $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{a}(x, y_1, t) - \hat{a}(x, y_2, t)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} &= \|\mathbb{E}a(x, Y_t^x(y_1)) - \mathbb{E}a(x, Y_t^x(y_2))\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &\leq C\mathbb{E}\|Y_t^x(y_1) - Y_t^x(y_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\ &\leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}\|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\|\hat{a}'_y(x, y, t) \cdot l\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}\|l\|_{\mathbb{R}^m},\ l \in \mathbb{R}^m. \tag{5.5}$$

Therefore, thanks to (5.4) and (5.5), we can conclude that

$$\|\mathbb{E}[\hat{a}'_y(x, Y_{t_0}^x(y), t) \cdot \left(D_x Y_{t_0}^x(y) \cdot k\right)]\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \tag{5.6}$$

Then, we directly have

$$\begin{aligned} &\hat{a}'_x(x, y_1, t) \cdot k - \hat{a}'_x(x, y_2, t) \cdot k \\ &\quad = \mathbb{E}\left(a'_x(x, Y_t^x(y_1))\right) \cdot k - \mathbb{E}\left(a'_x(x, Y_t^x(y_2))\right) \cdot k \\ &\qquad + \mathbb{E}\left(a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_1)) \cdot \zeta_t^{x,y_1,k} - a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_2)) \cdot \zeta_t^{x,y_2,k}\right) \\ &\quad = \mathbb{E}\left(a'_x(x, Y_t^x(y_1))\right) \cdot k - \mathbb{E}\left(a'_x(x, Y_t^x(y_2))\right) \cdot k \\ &\qquad + \mathbb{E}\left([a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_1)) - a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_2))] \cdot \zeta_t^{x,y_1,k}\right) \\ &\qquad + \mathbb{E}\left(a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_2)) \cdot (\zeta_t^{x,y_1,k} - \zeta_t^{x,y_2,k})\right). \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

First it is easy to show

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\mathbb{E}\left(a'_x(x, Y_t^x(y_1))\right) \cdot k - \mathbb{E}\left(a'_x(x, Y_t^x(y_2))\right) \cdot k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &\quad \leq \mathbb{E}\|\left(a'_x(x, Y_t^x(y_1))\right) \cdot k - \left(a'_x(x, Y_t^x(y_2))\right) \cdot k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\ &\quad \leq C\mathbb{E}\|Y_t^x(y_1) - Y_t^x(y_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdot \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}\|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdot \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}. \tag{5.8}$$

Next, by assumption (**A1**) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbb{E}\left([a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_1)) - a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_2))] \cdot \zeta_t^{x,y_1,k}\right)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\|[a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_1)) - a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_2))] \cdot \zeta_t^{x,y_1,k}\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\leq C\{\mathbb{E}\|\zeta_t^{x,y_1,k}\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \{\mathbb{E}\|Y_t^x(y_1) - Y_t^x(y_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \cdot \|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

By making use of assumption (**A1**) again, we can show

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbb{E}\left(a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_2)) \cdot (\zeta_t^{x,y_1,k} - \zeta_t^{x,y_2,k})\right)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}\|a'_y(x, Y_t^x(y_2)) \cdot (\zeta_t^{x,y_1,k} - \zeta_t^{x,y_2,k})\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\leq C\mathbb{E}\|\zeta_t^{x,y_1,k} - \zeta_t^{x,y_2,k}\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \\
&\leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}\|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdot \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Collecting together (5.7), (5.8), (5.9) and (5.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\hat{a}'_x(x, y_1, t) \cdot k - \hat{a}'_x(x, y_2, t) \cdot k\| \\
&\leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}\|y_1 - y_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \cdot \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n},
\end{aligned}$$

which means

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\hat{a}'_x(x, y, t) \cdot h - \hat{a}'_x(x, Y_{t_0}^x(y), t) \cdot k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \\
&\leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}) \cdot \|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

since

$$\mathbb{E}\|Y_{t_0}^x(y)\|_{\mathbb{R}^m} \leq C(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}).$$

Returning to (5.3), by (5.6) and (5.11) we conclude that

$$\|D_x\tilde{a}_{t_0}(x, y, t) \cdot k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m})\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}.$$

By taking the limit as t_0 goes to infinity we obtain

$$\|D_x(\bar{a}(x) - \mathbb{E}a(x, Y_t^x(y)))\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right).$$

□

Proceeding with similar arguments above we obtain the following higher order differentiability.

Lemma 5.5. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $x, k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $t > 0$ it holds*

$$\begin{aligned}&\|D^2_{xx}(\bar{a}(x) - \mathbb{E}a(x, Y^x_t(y)))(k_1, k_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\\ &\leq Ce^{-\frac{\beta}{2}t}\|k_1\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|k_2\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(1 + \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} + \|y\|_{\mathbb{R}^m}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 5.6. *There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for any $x, k \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $t > 0$ it holds*

$$\|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}D_x\bar{u}(t, x) \cdot k\|_{\mathbb{R}^n} \leq C\|k\|_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Proof. For simplicity of presentation, we will prove it for the 1-dimensional case. Multi-dimensional situation can be handled similarly; only notation is somewhat involved. In this case we only need to show

$$|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\bar{u}(t, x)| \leq C. \tag{5.12}$$

Actually, for any $\phi \in C^3_b(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\bar{u}(t, x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\mathbb{E}\phi(\bar{X}_t(x)) = \mathbb{E}\left(\phi'(\bar{X}_t(x)) \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\bar{X}_t(x)\right).$$

If we define

$$\varsigma^x_t := \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\bar{X}_t(x),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}\varsigma^x_t &= 1 + \int_0^t \bar{a}'(\bar{X}_s(x)) \cdot \varsigma^x_s ds + \int_0^t b'(\bar{X}_s(x)) \cdot \varsigma^x_s dB_s\\ &\quad + \int_0^t c'(\bar{X}_{s-}(x)) \cdot \varsigma^x_{s-} dP_s.\end{aligned}$$

The boundedness of $\bar{a}', b'$ and c' guarantee

$$\mathbb{E}|\varsigma^x_t|^2 \leq C,\ t \in [0, T]. \tag{5.13}$$

By using Itô formula we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbb{E}[\phi'(\bar{X}_t(x)) \cdot \varsigma^x_t]\\ &= \phi'(x) + \mathbb{E}\int_0^t [\phi'(\bar{X}_s(x))\bar{a}'(\bar{X}_s(x))\varsigma^x_s + \varsigma^x_s\phi''(\bar{X}_s(x))\bar{a}(\bar{X}_s(x))]ds\\ &+\mathbb{E}\int_0^t b'(\bar{X}_s(x)))\varsigma^x_s\phi''(\bar{X}_s(x))b(\bar{X}_s(x))ds\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{E}\int_0^t \varsigma^x_s\phi'''(\bar{X}_s(x))b^2(\bar{X}_s(x))ds\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\lambda_1 \mathbb{E}\int_0^t \phi'(\bar{X}_s(x))c'(\bar{X}_{s-}(x))\varsigma_s^x ds\\
&+\lambda_1 \mathbb{E}\int_0^t \varsigma_s^x[\phi'(\bar{X}_{s-}(x)+c(\bar{X}_{s-}(x)))-\phi'(\bar{X}_{s-}(x))]ds\\
&+\lambda_1 \mathbb{E}\int_0^t c'(\bar{X}_{s-}(x))\varsigma_s^x[\phi'(\bar{X}_{s-}(x)+c(\bar{X}_{s-}(x)))-\phi'(\bar{X}_{s-}(x))]ds.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since ϕ belongs to $C_b^3(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$, from the assumption (**A1**) it follows that for any $t\in[0,T]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\bar{u}(t,x)]\right| &= \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\mathbb{E}[\phi'(\bar{X}_t(x))\cdot\varsigma_t^x]\right|\\
&\leq C|\mathbb{E}\varsigma_t^x|,
\end{aligned}
$$

then, by taking (5.13) into account, one would easily arrive at (5.12). □

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Professor Jinqiao Duan for helpful discussions and comments. Hongbo Fu is supported by CSC scholarship (No. [2015]5104), NSF of China (Nos. 11301403, 11405118, 11271295) and Foundation of Wuhan Textile University 2013. Li Wan is supported by NSF of China (No. 11271295) and Science and Technology Research Projects of Hubei Provincial Department of Education (No. D20131602). Jicheng Liu is supported by NSF of China (Nos. 11271013, 10901065). Xianming Liu is supported by NSF of China (No. 11301197)

References

[1] J. H. Bao, G. Yin, C. G. Yuan, Two-time-scale stochastic partial differential equations driven by α-stable noises: Averaging principles, Bernoulli 23 (1) (2017) 645-669.

[2] N. N. Bogoliubov, Y. A. Mitropolsky, Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Non-linear Oscillations, Gordon & Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1961.

[3] C. E. Bréhier, Strong and weak orders in averging for SPDEs, Stochastic Process. Appl. 122 (2012) 2553-2593.

[4] S. Cerrai, M. I. Freidlin, Averaging principle for a class of stochastic reaction-diffusion equations, Proba. Theory Related Fields. 144 (2009) 137-177.

[5] S. Cerrai, A Khasminkii type averaging principle for stochastic reaction-diffusion equations, Ann. Appl. Probab. 19 (3) (2009) 899-948.

[6] S. Cerrai, Averaging principle for systems of reaction-diffusion equations with polynomial nonlinearities perturbed by multiplicative type noise, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 43(6) (2011) 2482-2518.

[7] M. I. Freidlin, A. D. Wentzell, Random Perturbation of Dynamical Systems, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.

[8] M. I. Freidlin, A. D. Wentzell, Long-time behavior of weakly coupled oscillators, J. Stat. Phys 123 (2006) 1311-1337.

[9] H. Fu, L. Wan, Y. Wang, J. Liu, Strong convergence rate in averaging principle for stochastic FitzHug-Nagumo system with two time-scales, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 416 (2014) 609-628.

[10] H. Fu, L. Wan, J. Liu, Strong convergence in averaging principle for stochastic hyperbolic-parabolic equations with two time-scales. Stochastic Process. Appl. 125 (2015) 3255-3279.

[11] D. Givon, I. G. Kevrekidis, R. Kupferman, Strong convergence of projective integration schemes for singular perturbed stochastic differential systems, Comm. Math. Sci. 4 (2006) 707-729.

[12] D. Givon, Strong convergence rate for two-time-scale jump-diffusion stochastic differential systems. SIAM Mul. Mod. Simu. 6 (2007) 577-594.

[13] R. Z. Khasminskii, On the principle of averaging the Itô stochastic differential equations (Russian), kibernetika 4 (1968) 260-279.

[14] Y. Kifer, Some recent advance in averaging, Modern dynamical systems and applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2004, pp. 385-403.

[15] Y. Kifer, Diffusion approximation for slow motion in fully coupled averaging, Proba. Theor. Relat. Fields, 129 (2004) 157-181.

[16] Y. Kifer, Another proof of the averaging principle for fully coupled dynamical systems with hyperbolic fast motions, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 13 (2005) 1187-1201.

[17] D. Liu, Strong convergence rate of principle of averaging for jump-diffusion processes, Front. Math. China 7(2) (2012) 305-320.

[18] A. Y. Veretennikov, On the averaging principle for systems of stochastic differential equations, Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik 69 (1991) 271-284.

[19] A. Y. Veretennikov, On large deviations in the averaging principle for SDEs with full dependence, Ann. Probab. 27 (1999) 284-296.

[20] V. M. Volosov, Averaging in systems of ordinary differential equations, Russian mathematical surveys 17 (1962) 1-126.

[21] Y. Xu, J. Duan, W. Xu, An averaging principle for stochastic dynamical systems with Lévy noise, Physica D 240(17) (2011) 1395-1401.

[22] Y. Xu, B. Pei, Y. Li, An averaging principle for stochastic differential delay equations with fractional Brownian motion, Abstr. Appl. Anal. (2014) ID 479195.

[23] Y. Xu, R. Guo, D. Liu, H. Zhang, J. Duan, Stochastic averaging principle for dynamical systems with fractional Brownian motion, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems (Series B) 19(4) (2014) 1197-1212.

[24] Y. Xu, B. Pei, Y. Li, Approximation properties for solutions to non-Lipschitz stochastic differential equations with Lévy noise, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 11(38) (2014) 2120-2131.

[25] Y. Xu, R. Guo, W. Xu, A limit theorem for the solutions of slow-fast systems with fractional Brownian motion, Theor. Appl. Mech. Lett. 1 (4) (2014) ID 013003.

[26] W. Wang, A. J. Roberts, Average and deviation for slow-fast stochastic partial differential equations. J. Differential Equations 253 (2012) 1265-1286